

UNIQUE MIXING OF THE SHIFT ON THE C^* -ALGEBRAS GENERATED BY THE q -CANONICAL COMMUTATION RELATIONS

KENNETH DYKEMA* AND FRANCESCO FIDALEO†

ABSTRACT. The shift on the C^* -algebras generated by the Fock representation of the q -commutation relations has the strong ergodic property of unique mixing, when $|q| < 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The q -commutation relations have been studied in the physics literature, see e.g. [8]. These are the relations

$$a_i a_j^+ - q a_j^+ a_i = \delta_{ij} 1, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where $-1 \leq q \leq 1$. This gives an interpolation between the canonical commutation relations (Bosons) when $q = 1$ and the canonical anticommutation relations (Fermions) when $q = -1$, while when $q = 0$ we have freeness (cf. [16]). In [3], (see also [9] and [7]) a Fock representation of these relations was found, giving annihilators a_i and their adjoints, the creators a_i^+ , acting on a Hilbert space with a vacuum vector Ω . The C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras generated by sets of these operators or by their real parts $a_i + a_i^+$ have been much studied. The reader is referred to [11, 5, 12, 14, 15, 13] for results, applications and further details.

In the present note, we show that the shift α_q on the C^* -algebras generated by these a_i , or by their self-adjoint parts, has the strong ergodic property of unique mixing, which was introduced in the companion paper [6], whenever $|q| < 1$. The case of free shifts was treated in [6], but when $q \neq 0$, this shift α_q cannot a free shift, so these results provide new noncommutative examples of unique mixing. We also observe that the examples provided by the a_i and by the $a_i + a_i^+$ are nonconjugate. It was shown in [6] that there is no classical counterpart to this situation.

2. TERMINOLOGY AND BASIC NOTATIONS

For a (discrete) C^* -dynamical system we mean a triplet $(\mathfrak{A}, \alpha, \omega)$ consisting of a unital C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, an automorphism α of $\mathfrak{A}$, and a state $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ invariant under the action of α . The pair $(\mathfrak{A}, \alpha)$ consisting of C^* -algebra

*Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0600814.

†Permanent address: Dipartimento di Matematica, II Università di Roma “Tor Vergata”, Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italy. e-mail: fidaleo@mat.uniroma2.it.

and an automorphism as before, is called a C^* -dynamical system as well. A classical C^* -dynamical system is simply a dynamical system such that $\mathfrak{A} \sim C(X)$, $C(X)$ being the Abelian C^* -algebra of all the continuous functions on the compact Hausdorff space X . In this situation, $\alpha(f) = f \circ T$ for some homeomorphism $T : X \mapsto X$.

Consider for $j = 1, 2$, the C^* -dynamical systems $(\mathfrak{A}_j, \alpha_j, \omega_j)$ together with the canonically associated W^* -dynamical systems $(M_j, \hat{\alpha}_j, \hat{\omega}_j)$. Here, $M_j := \pi_{\omega_j}(\mathfrak{A}_j)''$ and $\hat{\alpha}_j, \hat{\omega}_j$ are the canonical extensions of α_j and ω_j to M_j , respectively. The C^* -dynamical system $(\mathfrak{A}_j, \alpha_j, \omega_j)$ are said to be *conjugate* if there exists an automorphism $\beta : M_1 \mapsto M_2$ intertwining the dynamics ($\beta \hat{\alpha}_1 = \hat{\alpha}_2 \beta$), and the states ($\hat{\omega}_2 \circ \beta = \hat{\omega}_1$). Suppose that $\mathfrak{A}_j \sim C(X_j)$, X_j being compact spaces. Then there exist probability measures μ_j on X_j , and measure-preserving homeomorphisms T_j of the compact spaces X_j such that

$$\alpha_j(f) = f \circ T_j, \quad \omega_j(f) = \int_{X_j} f \, d\mu_j.$$

Thanks to a result by J. von Neumann (cf. [1], p. 69), our definition is equivalent to the following one, provided that the X_j are compact metric spaces. There exist μ_j -measurable sets $A_j \in X_j$ of full measure such that $T_j(A_j) = A_j$, and a one-to-one measure-preserving map $S : A_1 \mapsto A_2$ such that $T_2 = S \circ T_1 \circ S^{-1}$. The reader is referred to [10] for further details relative to the classical case.

To recall the definition from [6], a C^* -dynamical system $(\mathfrak{A}, \alpha)$ is said to be *uniquely mixing* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(\alpha^n(x)) = \varphi(\mathbf{1})\omega(x), \quad x \in \mathfrak{A}, \varphi \in \mathfrak{A}^*$$

for some $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

It can readily seen that ω is invariant under α . In addition, it is unique among the invariant states for α .

Let $\mathcal{H} := \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, with $e_i \in \mathcal{H}$ the function taking value 1 at i and zero elsewhere. The q -deformed Fock space $\mathcal{F}_q$ is the completion of the algebraic linear span of the vacuum vector Ω , together with vectors

$$f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \quad f_j \in \mathcal{H}, j = 1, \dots, n, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_m \rangle_q := \delta_{n,m} \sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{P}_n} q^{i(\pi)} \langle f_1, g_{\pi(1)} \rangle \cdots \langle f_n, g_{\pi(n)} \rangle,$$

$\mathbb{P}_n$ being the symmetric group of n elements, and $i(\pi)$ the number of inversions of $\pi \in \mathbb{P}_n$. We have $\langle f, g \rangle_q = \langle P_q f, g \rangle_0$, where P_q is determined by

$$P_q \Omega = \Omega, \quad P_q f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n = q^{i(\pi)} f_{\pi(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{\pi(n)}. \quad (1)$$

The creator a_i^+ acts on $\mathcal{F}_q$ by

$$a_i^+ \Omega = e_i, \quad a_i^+(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = e_i \otimes f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n,$$

and its adjoint is the annihilator a_i given by

$$a_i \Omega = 0, \\ a_i(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n q^{k-1} \langle f_k, e_i \rangle f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{k-1} \otimes f_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{R}_q$ the C^* -algebra generated by $\{a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and by $\mathcal{G}_q$ the C^* -algebra generated by $\{a_i + a_i^+ \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. The right shift $\alpha = \alpha_q$ acting on $\mathcal{R}_q$ is uniquely determined by

$$\alpha(a_i) := a_{i+1}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

on the generators. The Fock vacuum expectation $\omega := \langle \cdot, \Omega \rangle$ is invariant for the shift α . The restriction of the vacuum expectation to $\mathcal{G}_q$ is a faithful trace. For further details, we refer to [2, 4] and the literature cited therein.

3. UNIQUE MIXING OF THE Q -SHIFTS

In the present section we prove the announced result on the unique mixing of the shift on the q -canonical commutation relations. We start with the following

Lemma 1. *Let $\{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, and $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathcal{H}$ be an orthonormal set. Then*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a^+(f_j) \xi_j \right\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-|q|}} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|\xi_j\|.$$

Proof. Denote as in [4], $P_q^{(n)} := P_q \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}$, where P_q is given in (1). By taking into account Section 3 of [4] (see also [2], Section 1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n a^+(f_j) \xi_j, \sum_{j=1}^n a^+(f_j) \xi_j \right\rangle_q &= \left\langle P_q^{(k+1)} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \otimes \xi_j, \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \otimes \xi_j \right\rangle_0 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-|q|} \left\langle \mathbf{1} \otimes P_q^{(k+1)} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \otimes \xi_j, \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \otimes \xi_j \right\rangle_0 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-|q|} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle f_i \otimes P_q^{(k)} \xi_i, f_j \otimes \xi_j \rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{1-|q|} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle f_i, f_j \rangle \langle P_q^{(k)} \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{1-|q|} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle f_i, f_j \rangle \langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle_q \\ &= \frac{1}{1-|q|} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \xi_i, \xi_i \rangle_q \\ &\leq \frac{n}{1-|q|} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|\xi_j\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2. *Let $0 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n < \dots$ be a sequence of increasing natural numbers, and $e_{\sigma_1}, \dots, e_{\sigma_i}, e_{\rho_1}, \dots, e_{\rho_j}$ elements of the canonical basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. We have*

$$\left\| \sum_{l=1}^n \alpha^{k_l} (a^+(e_{\sigma_1}) \cdots a^+(e_{\sigma_i}) a(e_{\rho_1}) \cdots a(e_{\rho_j})) \right\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{(1-|q|)^{i+j}}}$$

if at least either i or j is nonnull.

Proof. Suppose first $i > 0$. It is enough consider unit vectors $\xi \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes m}$, $m = j, j+1, \dots$. Put

$$\xi_l := a^+(e_{\sigma_2+k_l}) \cdots a^+(e_{\sigma_i+k_l}) a(e_{\rho_1+k_l}) \cdots a(e_{\rho_j+k_l}) \xi.$$

Notice that, by Theorem 3.1 of [2], $\|\xi_l\| \leq 1/\sqrt{(1-|q|)^{i+j-1}}$. In addition, $\langle e_{\sigma_1+k_l}, e_{\sigma_1+k_{\hat{l}}} \rangle = \delta_{l,\hat{l}}$. By applying Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{l=1}^n \alpha^{k_l} (a^+(e_{\sigma_1}) \cdots a^+(e_{\sigma_i}) a(e_{\rho_1}) \cdots a(e_{\rho_j})) \xi \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{l=1}^n a^+(e_{\sigma_1+k_l}) \xi_l, \sum_{l=1}^n a^+(e_{\sigma_1+k_l}) \xi_l \right\rangle \leq \frac{n}{(1-|q|)^{i+j}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $i = 0$ and then $j \neq 0$ (i.e. we have only annihilators), the assertion follows by the first part as

$$\sum_{l=1}^n \alpha^{k_l} (a(e_{\rho_1}) \cdots a^+(e_{\rho_j})) = \left(\sum_{l=1}^n a^+(e_{\rho_j+k_l}) \cdots a^+(e_{\rho_1+k_l}) \right)^*.$$

□

The following theorem is the announced result on the strong ergodic property enjoyed by the q -shift.

Theorem 3. *The dynamical system $(\mathcal{R}_q, \alpha)$ is uniquely mixing, with the vacuum expectation ω as the unique invariant state.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathcal{R}_q$ have vanishing vacuum expectation. It is norm limit of elements as those treated in Proposition 2. By Proposition 2.3 of [6], and a standard approximation argument, it is enough to prove that

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{l=1}^n \alpha^{k_l}(X) \right\| \longrightarrow 0$$

for each X given by

$$X = a^+(e_{\sigma_1}) \cdots a^+(e_{\sigma_i}) a(e_{\rho_1}) \cdots a(e_{\rho_j})$$

for which either i or j is nonnull, and for each sequence $0 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n < \dots$ of increasing natural numbers. The result directly follows by Proposition 2. □

The restriction of any uniquely mixing automorphism to any invariant C^* -subalgebra is also uniquely mixing. For example,

Corollary 4. *The dynamical system $(\mathcal{G}_q, \alpha|_{\mathcal{G}_q})$ is uniquely mixing, with the vacuum expectation $\omega|_{\mathcal{G}_q}$ as the unique invariant state.*

Remark 5. *The dynamical systems $(\mathcal{R}_q, \alpha^{-1})$, $(\mathcal{G}_q, (\alpha|_{\mathcal{G}_q})^{-1})$ are uniquely mixing as well, with the vacuum expectation as the unique invariant state.*

This can be shown by taking into account that

$$\theta\alpha = \alpha^{-1}\theta, \quad \omega \circ \theta = \omega,$$

where $\theta(a(e_k)) := a(e_{-k})$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, is the "time reversal", $a(e_k)$ being the k -annihilator.

It is known from [2] that $\mathcal{G}_q''$ is a II_1 -factor. Moreover, it is well known and easily seen that $\mathcal{R}_q''$ is all of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_q)$. (A proof in the case of the Fock representation of finitely many a_i is found in [5]). For convenience, we provide a proof of this fact below. In any case, from this it follows that $(\mathcal{R}_q, \alpha)$ is not conjugate to $(\mathcal{G}_q, \alpha|_{\mathcal{G}_q})$

Proposition 6. *For all $-1 < q < 1$, the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}_q''$ is all of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_q)$.*

Proof. It will suffice to show that the rank-one projection P_Ω onto the span of the vacuum vector belongs to $\mathcal{R}_q''$, because Ω is cyclic for the action of $\mathcal{R}_q$ on $\mathcal{F}_q$. In the case of $q = 0$, let us write v_i for a_i acting on $\mathcal{F}_0$. Thus, $\{v_i^*\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a family of isometries with orthogonal ranges, and the sum $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} v_i^* v_i$ converges in strong operator topology to $I - P_\Omega$. For the general case, we fix $-1 < q < 1$ and we will refer to Propositions 3.2 and 3.4 and Remark 3.3 of [5]. These show that there is a unitary $U : \mathcal{F}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0$ that sends the n -particle space to the n -particle space, and there is a positive operator M on $\mathcal{F}_q$ given by $M\Omega = \Omega$ and

$$M(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n q^{k-1} f_k \otimes f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{k-1} \otimes f_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n.$$

Proposition 3.2 of [5] shows that M has range equal to all of $\mathcal{F}_q$. Moreover, we have $U a_i U^* = v_i R$, where $R = U M^{1/2} U^*$. Thus,

$$U \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i^+ a_i \right) U^* = R \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} v_i^* v_i \right) R = R(I - P_\Omega)R,$$

where the sums converge in strong operator topology. From this, we see that the range projection of $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i^+ a_i$ is $I - P_\Omega$. $\square$

Notice that $(\mathcal{R}_q, \alpha, \omega)$ is not conjugate to $(\mathcal{G}_q, \alpha|_{\mathcal{G}_q}, \omega|_{\mathcal{G}_q})$. Indeed, $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{R}_q)'' \equiv \mathcal{R}_q''$ is not isomorphic to $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{G}_q)'' \equiv \mathcal{G}_q''$ as we have shown. Thus, we provide nontrivial examples of uniquely mixing C^* -dynamical systems for which the unique invariant state is faithful (case of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{G}_q$ generated by the self-adjoint part for which the restriction of vacuum state is a faithful trace),

or when it is not faithful (case of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{R}_q$). However, for all cases the associated GNS covariant representation is faithful. It was shown in [6] that there is no classical counterpart to this situation.

REFERENCES

- [1] Billingsley P. *Ergodic theory and informations*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1965.
- [2] Bożejko M., Kummerer B., Speicher R. *q-gaussian processes: non-commutative and classical aspects*, Commun. Math. Phys. **185** (1997), 129–154.
- [3] Bożejko M., Speicher R. *An example of a generalized Brownian motion*, Commun. Math. Phys. **137** (1991), 519–531.
- [4] Bożejko M., Speicher R. *Completely positive maps on Coxeter groups, deformed commutation relations, and operator spaces*, Math. Ann. **300** (1994), 97–120.
- [5] Dykema K., Nica A. *On the Fock representation of the q-commutation relations*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **440** (1993), 201–212.
- [6] Fidaleo F. *On strong ergodic properties of quantum dynamical systems*, preprint 2008 (arXiv:0802.2076).
- [7] Fivel D. I. *Interpolation between Fermi and Bose statistics using generalized commutators*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65** (1990), 3361–3364, Erratum **69** (1992), 2020.
- [8] Frisch U., Borret R., *Parastochastics*, J. Math. Phys. **11** (1970), 364–390.
- [9] Greenberg O. W. *Particles with small violations of Fermi or Bose statistics*, Phys. Rev. D **43** (1991), 4111–4120.
- [10] Jewett R. I. *The pervallence of uniquely ergodic systems*, J. Math. Mec. **19** (1970), 717–729.
- [11] Jorgensen P. E. T., Schmitt L. M., Werner R. F. *q-canonical commutation relations and stability of the Cuntz algebra*, Pacific J. Math. **155** (1994), 131–151.
- [12] Nou A. *Non injectivity of the q-deformed von Neumann algebras*, Math. Ann. **300** (2004), 17–38.
- [13] Ricard É. *Factoriality of q-Gaussian von Neumann algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **257** (2005), 659–665.
- [14] Shlyakhtenko D. *Some estimates for non-microstates free entropy dimension with applications to q-semicircular families*, Internat. Math. Res. Not. **2004**(51), 2757–2772.
- [15] Śniady P., *Factoriality of the Bożejko–Speicher von Neumann algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **246** (2004), 561–567.
- [16] Voiculescu D.V., Dykema K., Nica A., *Free Random Variables*, American Mathematical Society, 1992.

KENNETH DYKEMA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION TX 77843–3368, USA

E-mail address: kdykema@math.tamu.edu

FRANCESCO FIDALEO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION TX 77843–3368, USA